

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/634,399 08/09/2000		Lorraine Elisabeth Pena	PUJ-0279	5718	
759	04/01/2002				
S Maurice Valla			EXAMINER		
Woodcock Wash		WELLS, LAUREN Q			
MacKiewicz & 1					
One Liberty Place 46th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2002	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/634,399		PENA ET AL.	PENA ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit	T		
		Lauren Q W	/ells	1617			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1		he correspondence ac	ddress		
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J	•	_				
2a)⊠	,—	nis action is n					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-23,25-30,33-36 and 38-118 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23,25-30,33-36 and 38-118</u> is/are re	ejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election red	quirement.				
	on Papers						
· _	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		er e e e las das elas e	-			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5		mary (PTO-413) Paper No nal Patent Application (PT			

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-23, 25-30, 33-36, 38-118 are pending. The Amendment received January 23, 2002 cancelled claims 24, 31, 32 and 37 and amended claims 1, 23, 25, 28, 36, 42, 52, 56, 61, 62, 77, 78, 79, 84, 85, 104, 111, 113, 115, and 117.

Response to Applicant's Arguments/Amendment

The Applicant's arguments filed January 23, 2002 (Paper No. 7) to the rejection of claims 1-117 made by the Examiner under 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and deemed not persuasive.

The Applicant's amendments to claims 1, 36 and 62, are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC 102 and 112 rejections in the previous Office Action.

112 Rejection Maintained

The rejection of claim 36 under 35 U.S.C. 112 is MAINTAINED for the reasons set forth in the Office Action mailed July 5, 2001, Paper No. 5, and those found below.

Applicant argues, "the present application contains extensive teachings regarding carbomeric thickening agents, such that one of ordinary skill in the art would have no difficulty in understanding the scope and content of this term". This argument is not persuasive. On page 9 of the specification, a few examples of carbomeric thickening agents and non-carbomeric thickening agents are recited. However, there is no "extensive teachings regarding carbomeric thickening agents", especially those that are "solvent-tolerant". The term "solvent tolerant" is indefinite, as it is not clear what properties are encompassed by this phrase.

103 Rejection Maintained

Art Unit: 1617

The rejection of claims 1-19, 21-23, 25-30, 33-36, 38-54, 56-72, 74-87, 90-100 and 102-117 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Samour in view of Preuilh et al. and Pena is MAINTAINED for the reasons set forth in the Office Action mailed July 5, 2002, Paper No.

5, and those found below.

The rejection of claims 1-23, 25-30, 33-36 and 38-117 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Samour, Preuilh et al. and Pena in view of Grollier (5,059,606) and Mousa (6,171,604) is MAINTAINED for the reasons set forth in the Office Action mailed July 5, 2001, Paper No. 5, and those found below.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Unexpected Results

It is applicant's burden to demonstrate unexpected results over the closest prior art. See MPEP 716.02, also 716.02 (a) - (g). Furthermore, the unexpected results should be demonstrated with evidence that the differences in results are in fact unexpected and unobvious and of both statistical and practical significance. *Ex parte Gelles*, 22 USPQ2d 1318, 1319 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992). Moreover, evidence as to any unexpected benefits must be "clear and convincing" *In re Lohr*, 137 USPQ 548 (CCPA 1963), and be of a scope reasonably commensurate with the scope of the subject matter claimed, *In re Linder*, 173 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1972).

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant argues the unexpected solubility of minoxidil in the instant invention, as described on page 2 of the specification. The Examiner respectfully points out that page 2 of the specification sets forth statements without supporting data. Furthermore, the examples on pages 15-20 of the specification provide no solubility data of minoxidil at different percent weights in the formation of gels. Thus, such arguments are not persuasive.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lauren Q Wells whose telephone number is (703) 305-1878. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (6-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie can be reached on (703) 308-4612. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 1617

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

lqw March 26, 2002

MINNA MOEZIE, J.D.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600